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The plane contact problem of the theory of elasticity for a semi-infinite plane, a strip and a quarter-plane has been studied in [ 1 to 9] and others.

In the present paper the solution of a contact problem for an elastic rectangle is reduced to a quasi-completely regular infinite system of linear algebraic equations with finite absolute terms.

The theory of infinite systems of linear algebraic equations has been successfully applied to the solution of elasticity problems by Koialovich [10], Kantorovich [11], Arutiunian [12] and others.

1. Consider the plane problem of the theory of elasticity for a rectangle (Fig. 1) with symmetrical boundary conditions when the external load is specified on the part of the longer sides of the rectangle $(-2 a-l \leqslant x \leqslant-2 a, 0 \leqslant x \leqslant l)$ and when the normal displacements and shear stresses are given on the remaining part of the same sides (i.e. under the die). The shear stresses and normal displacements are also specified on the sides $x=-2 a-l$ and $x=l$. In the particular case when these stresses and displacements on the lateral edges and the shear stresses on the longitudinal edges of the rectangle are zero, we have the periodic contact problem for a strip.


FIG. 1

We seek a solution to the problem by using an Airy stress function, which in the absence of mass forces satisfies the biharmonic equation within the rectangle $-(2 a+l) \leqslant x \leqslant l,-h \leqslant y \leqslant h$.

The stresses and displacements can be expressed in terms of the stress function $\Phi$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}=\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial y^{2}}, \quad \tau_{x y}=-\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial x \partial y}, \quad \sigma_{y}=\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\frac{1}{E}\left\{\int \frac{\partial^{2}(\mathrm{D}}{\partial y^{2}} d x-v \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}}{d r}\right\}-a^{*} y+b^{*}  \tag{1.2}\\
& \left.v=\frac{1}{E} \iint_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}(\mathrm{D}}{\partial x^{2}} d y-v \frac{\partial \mathrm{D}}{\partial y}\right\}+a^{*} x+c^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $E$ is the modulus of elasticity, $\nu$ is Poisson's ratio and $a^{*}, b^{*}$ and $c^{*}$ are the constants which determine the rigid-body displacement of the elastic body. By virtue of the symmetry of the boundary conditions about the axes of symmetry of the rectange we need to determine the function $\Phi(x, y)$ only for the first quadrant of the rectangle.

We denote the stress function $\Phi$ in the regions 1 and 2 by $\Phi$, and $\Phi_{\lambda}$, respectively

$$
\Phi(x, y)= \begin{cases}\Phi_{1}(x, y) & \text { in region } 1  \tag{1.3}\\ \Phi_{2}(x, y) & \text { in region } 2\end{cases}
$$

We seek the biharmonic functions $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ in the form [13 and 14]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{1}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{1} x^{2}+B_{1} y^{2}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[A_{k}^{(1)} \cosh \alpha_{k} y+\exists_{k}^{(1)} \alpha_{k} y=\operatorname{inh} \alpha_{k} y\right] \cos x_{k} x+ \\
& \begin{array}{c}
+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[C_{k}^{(1)} \operatorname{cowh} \beta_{k} x \mp_{i}-D_{k}^{(1)} \operatorname{minh} \beta_{k} x+\beta_{k} x\left(E_{k}^{(1)} \cosh \beta_{k} x+f_{k}^{\left.\left.(1) \operatorname{linh} \beta_{k} x\right)\right] \cos \beta_{k} y}\right.\right. \\
(-a<x<0,0<y<h)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[C_{k}^{(2)} \operatorname{conh} \beta_{k} x+D_{k}^{(2)} \operatorname{inh} \beta_{k} x+\beta_{k} x\left(E_{k}^{(2)} \cosh \beta_{k} x+F_{k}^{(2)} \operatorname{inh} \beta_{k} x\right)\right] \cos \beta_{k} y
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
(0<x<l, 0<y<h)  \tag{1.5}\\
\alpha_{k}=\frac{k \pi}{a}, \quad \beta_{k}=\frac{k \pi}{h}, \quad \gamma_{k}=\frac{k \pi}{l} \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Because of the symmetry of the boundary conditions we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{x y}(x, 0)=0, \quad v(x, 0)=0 \quad(-a<x<l)  \tag{1.7}\\
& \tau_{x y}(\neg a, y)=0, \quad u(-a, y)=0 \quad(0<y<h) \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The following conditions hold on the contour of the rectangle:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau_{x y}(l, y)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} \sin \beta_{k} y, \quad u(l, y)=\frac{d_{0}}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} \cos \beta_{k} y \quad(0<y<h)  \tag{1.9}\\
v(x, h)=\frac{b_{0}}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} \cos \alpha_{k} x, \quad \tau_{x y}(x, h)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} \sin \alpha_{k} x \quad(-a<x<0)  \tag{1.10}\\
\sigma_{y}(x, h)=\frac{f_{0}}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k} \cos \gamma_{k} x, \tau_{x y}(x, h)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_{k} \sin \gamma_{k} x \quad(0<x<l) \tag{1.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

In addition, on the side common to regions 1 and 2 the conditions of continuity of the
stresses $\tau_{x y}$ and $\sigma_{x}$ and of the displacements $u$ and $v$ must be satisfied. They are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\tau_{x y}{ }^{1}(0, y)=\tau_{x y}^{2}(0, y), & u^{1}(0, y)=u^{2}(0, y)  \tag{1.12}\\
\sigma_{x}^{1}(0, y)=\sigma_{x}^{2}(0, y), & v^{1}(0, y)=v^{2}(0, y)
\end{array} \quad(0<y<h)
$$

The superscriptindicates from the side of which region the quantities are calculated.
2. Substituting (1.4) and (1.5) into (1.7), we note that the first condition of (1.7) is satisfied identically. From the second condition we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{*}=c^{*}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Satisfying conditions (1.8) to (1.11) according to (1.4) to (1.6) and (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A_{1}=\frac{E b_{0}}{2 h}+v B_{1}, \quad b_{1}{ }^{*}=\frac{a}{E}\left(B_{1}-v A_{1}\right), \quad b_{2}^{*}=\frac{d_{0}}{2}-\frac{l}{E}\left(B_{2}-v A_{2}\right)(2.2) \\
\left(A_{k}^{(1)}+B_{k}^{(1)}\right) \sinh \alpha_{k} h+B_{k}^{(1)} \alpha_{k} h \quad \cosh \alpha_{k} h=\frac{a_{k}}{\alpha_{k}^{2}} \\
\left(A_{k}^{(1)}-B_{k}^{(1)}\right) \sinh \alpha_{k} h+B_{k}^{(1)} \alpha_{k} h \cosh \alpha_{k} \cosh =-\frac{v a_{k}}{\alpha_{k}^{2}}-\frac{E b_{k}}{\alpha_{k}} \quad(2.3)
\end{array}
$$

$-\left(C_{k}{ }^{(1)}+F_{k}{ }^{(1)}\right) \sinh \beta_{k} a+\left(D_{k}{ }^{(1)}+E_{k}{ }^{(1)}\right) \cosh \beta_{k} a+\beta_{k} a\left(E_{k}{ }^{(1)} \sinh \beta_{k} a-F_{k}{ }^{(1)} \cosh \beta_{k} a\right)=0$
$-\left(C_{k}{ }^{(1)}-F_{k}{ }^{(1)}\right)=\operatorname{inh} \beta_{k} a+\left(D_{k}{ }^{(1)}-E_{k}{ }^{(1)}\right) \cosh \beta_{k} a+\beta_{k} a\left(E_{k}{ }^{(1)} \sinh \beta_{k} a-F_{k}{ }^{(1)} \cosh \beta_{k} a\right)=0$
$\left(C_{k}{ }^{(2)}+F_{k}{ }^{(2)}\right) \operatorname{inh} \beta_{k} l+\left(D_{k}{ }^{(2)}+E_{k}{ }^{(2)}\right) \cosh \beta_{k} l+\beta_{k} l\left(E_{k}{ }^{(2)} \operatorname{lnh} \beta_{k} l+F_{k}{ }^{(2)} \operatorname{conh} \beta_{k} l\right)=\frac{c_{k}}{\beta_{k}{ }^{2}}$
$\left(C_{k}{ }^{(2)}-F_{k}{ }^{(2)}\right) \sinh \beta_{k} l+\left(D_{k}{ }^{(2)}-E_{k}{ }^{(2)}\right) \cosh \beta_{k} l+\beta_{k} l\left(E_{k}{ }^{(2)} \sinh \beta_{k} l+F_{k}{ }^{(2)} \cosh \beta_{k} l\right)=$ $=-\frac{v c_{k}}{\beta_{k}{ }^{2}}-\frac{E d_{k}}{\beta_{k}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{k}^{(2)}+B_{k}^{(2)}\right): \sinh \gamma_{k} h+B_{k}^{(2)} \gamma_{k} h \cosh \Upsilon_{k} h=\frac{e_{k}}{\gamma_{k}^{2}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.4), (1.5) and conditions (1.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}^{(1)}+E_{k}^{(1)}=D_{k}^{(2)}+E_{k}^{(2)}, \quad D_{k}^{(1)}-E_{k}^{(1)}=D_{k}^{(2)}-E_{k}^{(2)}, \quad b_{1}^{*}=b_{2}^{*} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $b_{1}^{*}$ and $b_{1}^{*}$ are the values of $b^{*}$ in the regions $I$ and 2 . We introduce the notations

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}^{(2)}=\frac{h}{\gamma_{k}} \quad \frac{X_{k}}{\operatorname{inh} \gamma_{k} h}, \quad D_{k}^{(2)}=\frac{(-1)^{k} l}{\beta_{k}} Y_{k}, \quad E_{k}^{(2)}=\frac{(-1)^{k} l}{\beta_{k}} Z_{k} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of (1.4), (1.5), (1.11) and (1.13) together with (2.1) to (2.7), we obtain after some rearrangement the following set of three infinite systems

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{p}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p h} Y_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{p k} Z_{k}+m_{p} \quad(p=1,2,3, \ldots)  \tag{2.9}\\
Y_{p}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{p k} X_{k}+n_{p}, \quad Z_{p}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p k} X_{k}+r_{p} \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{p k}=-\frac{2 \gamma_{p}}{h \zeta_{p}} \frac{1}{\beta_{k}^{2}+\Upsilon_{p}^{2}}, \quad b_{p k}=\frac{2 \gamma_{p}}{h \zeta_{p}} \frac{\beta_{k}^{2}-\gamma_{p}^{2}}{\left(\beta_{k}^{2}+\gamma_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& c_{p k}=-\frac{4 \beta_{p}}{l \xi_{p}}\left(\frac{\gamma_{k}{ }^{2}}{\gamma_{k}{ }^{2}+\beta_{p}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\eta_{p}}{2 \xi_{p}}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma_{k}{ }^{2}+\beta_{p}{ }^{2}}, \quad d_{p k}=-\frac{2 \beta_{p}}{l \xi_{p}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{k}{ }^{2}+\beta_{p}^{2}} \\
& m_{p}=\frac{2}{v h^{2} \gamma_{p} \zeta_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a_{k}}{\alpha_{k}}-\frac{e_{k}}{\gamma_{k}}\right)+\frac{2}{h l \gamma_{p} \zeta_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{\beta_{k}} \times \\
& \times\left\{1-(-1)^{p} \frac{\beta_{k}^{2}\left[\beta_{h}^{2}+(2+v) \Upsilon_{p}^{2}\right]}{\left(\beta_{k}^{2}+\Upsilon_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\}-\frac{2 E}{h l} \frac{(-1)^{p_{\gamma p}}}{\zeta_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} \frac{(-1)^{k} \beta_{k}^{2}}{\left(\beta_{k}^{2}+\gamma_{p}\right)^{2}}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{h \Upsilon_{p} \zeta_{p}}\left[f_{p}+e_{p} \operatorname{coth} \tau_{p} h-f_{0}-\frac{E}{l}\left(\frac{a}{h} b_{0}+\frac{d_{0}}{v}\right)\right]+\frac{2}{\Upsilon_{p} \zeta_{p}} \frac{L+\left(1-v^{2}\right) a}{v h l} B_{\mathbf{1}} \\
& n_{p}=\frac{2}{h l \beta_{p} \xi_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k} \alpha_{k}}{\alpha_{k}{ }^{2}+\beta_{p}{ }^{2}}\left[\frac{\alpha_{k}^{2}+(2+v) \beta_{p}^{2}}{\alpha_{k}{ }^{2}+\beta_{p}{ }^{2}}-\frac{1+v}{2 v} \frac{\eta_{p}}{\xi_{p}} \frac{\alpha_{k}^{2}+(1-v) \beta_{p}{ }^{2}}{\alpha_{k}{ }^{2}}\right]+ \\
& +\frac{2 E}{h l} \frac{\beta_{p}}{\xi_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{\alpha_{k}^{2}+\beta_{p}{ }^{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{k}{ }^{2}}{\alpha_{k}{ }^{2}+\beta_{p}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\eta_{p}}{2 \xi_{p}}\right)-\frac{2}{h l \beta_{p} \xi_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{k}}{\gamma_{k}}\left(\frac{\gamma_{k}{ }^{2}}{\gamma_{k}^{2}+\beta_{p}{ }^{2}}-\frac{1+v \eta_{p}}{2 v} \frac{\xi_{p}}{\xi_{p}}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{(-1)^{p}(1-v)}{2 l \beta_{p} \xi_{p} \sinh \beta_{p} l}\left[\left(1-\frac{1+v}{1-v} \beta_{p} l \operatorname{coth} \beta_{p} l\right) c_{p}-\frac{E \beta_{p}}{1-v}\left(1+\beta_{p} l \operatorname{coth} \beta_{p} l\right) d_{p}\right]+ \\
& +\frac{(-1)^{p}(1+v)}{2 l \beta_{p} \operatorname{inh} \beta_{p} l} \frac{\eta_{p}}{\xi_{p}^{2}}\left(c_{p}+\frac{E \beta_{p}}{1+v} d_{p}\right)+\frac{E}{2 l^{2} \beta_{p}} \frac{\eta_{p}}{\xi_{p}^{2}}\left(\frac{a+l}{h} b_{0}+\frac{d_{0}}{v}\right)- \\
& -\frac{\left(1-v^{2}\right)(a+l)}{v l^{2} \beta_{p}} \frac{\eta_{p}}{\xi_{p}^{2}} B_{1} \\
& r_{p}=-\frac{1+v}{v h l \beta_{p} \xi_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}}{\alpha_{k}} \frac{\alpha_{k}^{2}+(1-v) \beta_{p}^{2}}{\alpha_{k}^{2}+\beta_{p}^{2}}+\frac{E}{h l} \frac{\beta_{p}}{\xi_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{\alpha_{k}^{2}+\beta_{p}^{2}}+ \\
& +\frac{1+v}{v h l \beta_{p} \xi_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{k}}{\gamma_{k}}+\frac{(-1)^{p}(1+v)}{2 l \beta_{p} \xi_{p} \operatorname{lnh}}-\frac{\beta_{p} l}{}\left(c_{p}+\frac{E \beta_{p}}{1+v} d_{p}\right)+\frac{E}{2 l^{2} \beta_{p} \xi_{p}}\left(\frac{a+l}{h} b_{0}+\frac{d_{0}}{v}\right)- \\
& -\frac{\left(1-v^{2}\right)(a+l)}{v l^{2} \beta_{p} \xi_{p}} B_{1}  \tag{2.15}\\
& \xi_{p}=\operatorname{coth} \beta_{p} a+\operatorname{coth} \beta_{p} l, \quad \eta_{p}=\frac{\beta_{p} a}{\sinh ^{2} \beta_{p} a}+\frac{\beta_{p} l}{\tan ^{2} \beta_{p} l}, \quad \zeta_{p}=\operatorname{coth} \gamma_{p} h+\frac{\gamma_{p} h}{\operatorname{lnh}^{2} \gamma_{p} h} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{l+\left(1-v^{2}\right) a}{v l} B_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(Y_{k}+Z_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{v h} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a_{k}}{\alpha_{k}}-\frac{e_{k}}{\gamma_{k}}\right)-\frac{1}{l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} c_{k}}{\beta_{k}}+ \\
+\frac{E}{2 l}\left(\frac{a}{h} b_{0}+\frac{d_{0}}{v}+\frac{l}{E} f_{0}\right), \quad B_{2}=\frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a_{k}}{\alpha_{k}}-\frac{e_{k}}{\gamma_{k}}\right)+B_{1} \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (2.2), according to (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}^{*}=b_{2}^{*}=-\frac{v a}{2 h} b_{0}+\left(1-v^{2}\right) \frac{a}{E} R_{1} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S^{\text {ubstituting (2.17) and (2.18) into the third equality of (2.2), we find that }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=\frac{1}{v h} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a_{k}}{a_{k}}-\frac{e_{k}}{Y_{k}}\right)-\frac{E}{2 l}\left(\frac{a}{h} b_{0}+\frac{d_{0}}{v}\right)+\frac{l+\left(1-v^{2}\right) a}{v l} B_{1} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the coefficients $A_{k}^{(1)}$ and $B_{k}^{(1)}$ can be determined directly from (2.3). The constants $b_{1}{ }^{*}, b_{2}^{*}, A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ can be expressed according to (2.2) and (2.17) to (2.19) in terms of $B_{1}$. The coefficients $C_{k}{ }^{(1)}, D_{k}^{(1)}, E_{k}^{(1)}, F_{k}{ }^{(1)}, A_{k}{ }^{(2)}, C_{k}{ }^{(2)}$ and $F_{k}{ }^{(2)}$ can be expressed on the basis of (2.4) to (2.7) and (2.8) in terms of $X_{k}, Y_{k}$ and $Z_{k}$, for the determination of which we have the infinite systems of linear equations (2.9) and (2.10) with absolute terms dependent on $B_{1}$. The coefficient $B_{1}$ can be determined from (2.17) using the solutions of (2.9) and (2.10).
3. We shall now prove that the set of infinite systems (2.9) and (2.10) is quasicompletely regular. The sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of equations (2.9) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{p k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{p k}\right|=\frac{2 \gamma_{p}}{h \zeta_{p}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta_{k}^{2}+\gamma_{p}{ }^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\beta_{k}^{2}-\gamma_{p}{ }^{2}\right|}{\left.\left(\beta_{k}{ }^{2}+\gamma_{p}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]= \\
& =\frac{2 x_{p}}{\pi \zeta_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|k^{2}-x_{p}^{2}\right|}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \quad(p=1,2, \ldots) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{p}=\frac{\gamma_{p} h}{\pi}=p \frac{h}{l} \quad \zeta_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)=\mathbf{c o t h} x_{p} \pi+\frac{x_{p} \pi}{\sinh h^{2} \cdot x_{p} \pi}  \tag{3.2}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{p_{k}}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{p k}\right|= \\
=\frac{2 x_{p}}{\pi_{\zeta_{p}}\left(x_{p}\right)}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}+x_{p}{ }^{2}} \mp \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}{ }^{\circ}} \frac{k^{2}-x_{p}{ }^{2}}{\left.\left(k^{2}+x_{p}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}+\sum_{k_{p}+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2}-x_{p}^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $k_{p}{ }^{\circ}$ is an integer defined for the integers $k \leqslant k_{p}{ }^{\circ}$ by the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}-x_{p}^{3} \leqslant 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{p}, \leqslant x_{p} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5) we have, for the expression (3.3),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{p k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{p h}\right|=\frac{2 x_{p}}{\pi \zeta_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}+x_{p}{ }^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2}-x_{p}^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}-2 \sum_{k=1}^{h_{p}^{0}} \frac{k^{2}-x_{p}^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]= \\
& =\frac{2 x_{p}}{\pi_{j}^{2}\left(x_{p}\right)}\left[\frac{\pi}{2 x_{p}}\left(\operatorname{coth} x_{p} \pi-\frac{1}{x_{k} \pi}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2 x_{p}}\left(1-\frac{x_{p}^{2} \pi^{2}}{\ln ^{2} x_{p} \pi}\right) \frac{1}{x_{p} \pi}+2 x_{p}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}^{0}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}-\right. \\
& \left.\quad-2 \sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}^{0}} \frac{k^{a}}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right] \leqslant \frac{1}{\xi_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)}\left[\operatorname{coth} x_{p} \pi-\frac{x_{p} \pi}{\sinh ^{2} x_{p} \pi}+\frac{1}{x_{n} \pi} \frac{1-x_{p}^{2}}{1+x_{p}^{2}}+\right. \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left.+\frac{9}{\pi}\left(1+\tan ^{-1} \frac{1}{x_{p}}\right)\right]=f\left(x_{p}\right)
$$

Here we have used the following sums and inequalities [15]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}}=\frac{\pi}{2 x_{p}}\left(\operatorname{coth} x_{p} \pi-\frac{1}{x_{p} \pi}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\pi}{4 x_{p}^{3}}\left(\operatorname{coth} x_{p} \pi+\frac{x_{p} \pi}{\operatorname{inn} h^{2} x_{p} \pi}-\frac{2}{x_{p} \pi}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\pi}{4 x_{p}}\left(\operatorname{coth} x_{p} \pi-\frac{x_{p} \pi}{\operatorname{trn}^{2} x_{p} \pi}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}} \leqslant \int_{0}^{k_{p}^{0}} \frac{d k}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}} \gtrless \frac{1}{4 x_{p}^{3}}\left(1+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{k_{p}^{0}} \frac{k^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}} \geqslant \int_{1}^{k_{p}^{0}} \frac{k^{2} d k}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}} \geqslant \int_{1}^{x_{p}} \frac{k^{2} d k}{\left(k^{2}+x_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{1}{4 x_{p}^{2}}= \\
=\frac{1}{4 x_{p}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-1-\frac{1}{x_{p}} \frac{1-x_{p}^{2}}{1+x_{p}^{2}}-2 \tan ^{-1} \frac{1}{x_{p}}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

We find from (3.2) and (3.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x_{p} \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{p}\right)=1+\frac{2}{\pi} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the second system of (2.10) we obtain
$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{p k}\right|=\frac{4 \beta_{p}}{l \xi_{p}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{k}{ }^{2}}{\left(\gamma_{k}{ }^{2}+\beta_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\eta_{p}}{2 \xi_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_{k}^{2}+\beta_{p}^{2}}\right]=\frac{4 y_{p}}{\pi \xi_{p}\left(y_{p}\right)}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2}}{\left(k^{2}+y_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\right.$ $\left.+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta_{p}\left(y_{p}\right)}{\xi_{p}\left(y_{p}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}+y_{p}^{2}}\right]=\frac{1}{\xi_{p}\left(y_{p}\right)}\left[\operatorname{coth} y_{p} \pi-\frac{y_{p} \pi}{\operatorname{lnh}^{2} y_{p} \pi}+\frac{\eta_{p}\left(y_{p}\right)}{\xi_{p}\left(y_{p}\right)}\left(\operatorname{coth} y_{p} \pi-\frac{1}{y_{p} \pi}\right)\right]=$ $=\varphi\left(y_{p}\right)$
where
$y_{p}=\frac{\beta_{p} l}{\pi}=p \frac{l}{h}, \quad \xi_{p}\left(y_{p}\right)=\operatorname{coth} y_{p} \pi+\operatorname{coth} \frac{y_{p} \pi a}{l}, \quad \eta_{p}\left(y_{p}\right)=\frac{y_{p} \pi}{\operatorname{lnh}^{2} y_{p} \pi}+\frac{y_{p} \pi a / l}{\sinh ^{2}\left(y_{p} \pi a / l\right)}$
From (3.13) and (3.14) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \varphi\left(y_{p}\right)=1 / 2 \quad\left(y_{p} \rightarrow \infty\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of the third equation of (2.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k-1}^{\infty}\left|d_{p k}\right|= & \frac{2 \beta_{p}}{l_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_{k}^{2}+\beta_{p}^{2}}=\frac{2 y_{p}}{\pi \xi_{p}\left(y_{p}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}+y_{p}^{2}}= \\
& =\frac{1}{\xi_{p}\left(y_{p}\right)}\left(\operatorname{coth} y_{p} \pi-\frac{1}{y_{p} \pi}\right)=\psi\left(y_{p}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

From the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{coth} y_{p} \pi-\frac{1}{y_{p} \pi}-1, \quad \operatorname{coth} y_{p} \pi+\operatorname{coth} \frac{y_{p} \pi a}{l} \geq 2 \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leqslant y_{p} \pi \leqslant \infty \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from Formula(3.16) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(y_{p}\right) \leqslant 1 / 2 \quad \text { for } 0 \leqslant y_{p} \leqslant \infty \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (3.12), (3.15) and (3.18) we have the following estimates for the sum of the moduli of the coefficients of each line of the infinite system (2.10) for $0 \leqslant y_{p} \leqslant \infty$
$\lim _{x_{p} \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{p k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{p k}\right|\right\} \leqslant 1+\frac{2}{\pi}, \quad \lim _{y_{p} \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{p k}\right|=\frac{1}{2}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|d_{p k}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$
Substituting $Y_{p}$ and $Z_{p}$ from the second and third systems of (2.10) into the first, and $X_{p}$ from the first into the remaining ones, we obtain an infinite system in the anknowns $X_{p}^{p}, Y_{p}$ and $Z_{p}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{p}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{p k} c_{k n}+b_{p k} d_{k n}\right) X_{n}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{p k} n_{k}+b_{p k} r_{k}\right)+m_{p} \\
& Y_{p}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{p k}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k n} Y_{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n k} Z_{n}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{p k} m_{k}+n_{p}  \tag{3.20}\\
& Z_{p}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{p k}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k n} Y_{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{k n} Z_{n}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{p k} m_{k}+r_{p}
\end{align*}
$$

For the sums of the absolute values of the coefficients of system (3.20), according to (3.19), we have the following estimates:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\substack{x_{0} \rightarrow \infty \\
y_{0} \rightarrow \infty}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{p k} c_{k n}+b_{p k} d_{k n}\right| \leqslant \lim _{\substack{x_{p} \rightarrow \infty \\
y_{p} \rightarrow \infty}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{p k}\right| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k n}\right|+\lim _{\substack{x_{p} \rightarrow \infty \\
y_{p} \rightarrow \infty}}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{p k}\right| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|d_{k n}\right|= \\
=\lim _{\substack{x_{p} \rightarrow \infty \\
y_{p} \rightarrow \infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k n}\right|\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{p k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{p k}\right|\right)=\lim _{\substack{x_{p} \rightarrow \infty}} \sum_{\substack{y_{p} \rightarrow \infty}}^{\infty}\left|d_{k n}\right|\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{p k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{p k}\right|\right) \leqslant \\
\leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{2}{\pi}\right)=0.5 \cdot 1,6366=1-\theta \tag{3.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\substack{x_{p} \rightarrow \infty \\
y_{p} \rightarrow \infty}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{p k}\right|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{k n}\right|\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{2}{\pi}\right)=0.5 \cdot 1.6366=1-\theta \\
& \lim _{\substack{x_{j} \rightarrow \infty \\
y_{p} \rightarrow \infty}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|d_{p k}\right|\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k n}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{k n}\right|\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{2}{\pi}\right)=0.5 \cdot 1.6366=1-\theta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
(\theta=0.1817)
$$

Note that the estimates (3.19) which were derived for $x_{p} \rightarrow \infty$ and $y_{p} \rightarrow \infty$ are already valid, for $x_{p} \geqslant 5$ and $y_{p} \geqslant 5$.

In addition, from (3.6), (3.13) and (3.16) we easily see that

$$
\lim f\left(x_{p}\right)=1 / 2 \quad \text { as } \quad x_{p} \rightarrow 0, \quad \lim \varphi\left(y_{p}\right)=\lim \psi\left(y_{p}\right)=0 \quad \text { as } \quad y_{p} \rightarrow 0
$$

and the sums of absolute values of the coefficients of syst ems (3.20) tend to zero. This enables us to obtain an approximate solution for the infinite systems with a high degree of accuracy.

Thus, on the basis of (3.21) the infinite system (2.10) is, for arbitrary ratio of $a, l$ and $h$ and any possible value of Poisson's ratio, quasi-completely regular [11]. As can be seen from (2.11) to (2.15) and (3.20) the absolute terms of the infinite systems (2.10) and (3.20) are of the order of the Fourier coefficients of expansions (1.9) to (1.11). Consequently, they are finite and of the order of not less than $p^{-1}$ if the external load and the first derivatives of the displacements $v^{-1}(x, h)$ and $u^{2}(l, y)$ are, in the given range, piecewise continuous.

The quasi-complete regularity of the infinite system (2.10), together with the finiteness of the absolute terms in (2.13) to (2.15), enables us to evaluate the required coefficients of the expansions of $\Phi(x, y)(1.4)$ and (1.5) with any degree of accuracy [14]. On this basis we can evaluate the upper and lower bounds for the stresses $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}$ and $\tau_{x y}$ and the displacements $u$ and $v$.

Note that the series which define the required stresses and displacements are divergent on the sides of the rectangle. In order, therefore, to determine the nature of the variation of these quantities (and this includes the pressure under the die) it is necessary to evaluate them at internal points very close to the boundary [14] where these series converge as geometrical progressions.

The solution of the contact problem for a rectangle when only the stresses are specified everywhere on the boundary outside the contact area, together with numerical examples illustrating the effect of external load and ratios of the dimensions of the rectangle to the length of contact, will be the subject of a later paper by the authors.
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